

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,569	01/21/2004	John H. King	BU129/0BU34	3439
24350 75	90 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
STITES & HARBISON, PLLC			ALI, SHUMAYA B	
400 W MARKE SUITE 1800	ET ST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	KY 40202-3352		3771	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			mic_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/761,569	KING, JOHN H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shumaya B. Ali	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,6-8,10,12-14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-5,9 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/129/06 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/761,569

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to the office action mailed on 5/3/06 the Applicant has amended claims 1,8, and 14. Currently claims 1-14 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Steinke US Patent No. 3,080,586.

As to claim 1, Steinke disclose a flexible respirator hood designed to fit over and around the head of a wearer, and including a substantially transparent lens (fig.1, 11) received in a front opening of the hood, the improvement comprising an inflatable neck cuff positioned near a lower portion of the hood (fig.1, 8) and substantially circumscribing an opening through (fig.2, 3) which the wearer inserts his head, said

inflatable neck cuff being supplied by an air source (col.4 lines 20-30), and said inflatable neck cuff having no outlet into the interior of the hood such that, once inflated, it fits under the chin of the wearer and exerts a sealing pressure against the neck of the wearer, thus preventing the hood from rising up relative to the head of the wearer due to upward forces resulting from introduction of air into the hood (see fig.2, col. 2 lines 26-28, 54-57).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8,10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steinke US Patent No. 3,080,586 in view of Jacobson US Patent No. 4, 411,264

As to claim 8, Steinke teaches claimed invention as applied to claim 1 with the exception of one or more overhead channels, which define an air delivery path from the air source over the head of the wearer to the interior of the lens and downwardly across the face of the wearer. Jacobson in supplied air respirator teaches overhead channels (fig.2, 10) for supplying air to the wearer (see col.2 lines 61 and 62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the device of Steinke in view of Jacobson in order to provide overhead channels because doing so would have supplied air to the wearer.

As to claim 10, Steinke in view of Jacobson does not teach multiple overhead channels. However, Applicant has not established why multiple channels are critical to the invention. Therefore, to one of ordinary sills in the art it would have been obvious to duplicate channels of Steinke/Jacobson as a matter of design choice.

As to claim 14, Steinke teaches claimed invention as applied to claim 2.

Claims 6,7, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steinke US Patent No. 3,080,586 in view of Jacobson US Patent No. 4, 411,264 and further in view of Grizard French Patent No. 2,614,538.

As to claims 6 and 7, combination of Steinke and Jacobson discloses claimed invention with the exception of an integral exhalation valve in an exterior surface of said hood, said valve opening when air pressure within the hood exceeds a predetermined value and wherein said exhalation valve—includes an opening through the exterior surface of said hood and a covering that extends over the opening, said covering being bonded to the hood, but overcoming the bond and pulling away from the hood to open said exhalation valve should the air pressure within the hood exceed the predetermined value. However, Grizard, which also relates to a respirator hood, discloses a pull-way exhalation valve (figs.5-6, valve 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art based upon the teachings of Grizard to

modify the hood of Steinke to utilize a pull-way exhalation valve for the purposes of providing a more compact and efficient device.

As to claims 12 and 13, Steinke teaches claimed invention as applied to claim 6.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5, 9, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date

Application/Control Number: 10/761,569

Art Unit: 3743

Page 6

of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shumaya B. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-6088. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

xaminer Examiner

Art Unit 3743